Tutorial 1 Exercise Solutions

COMP526: Efficient Algorithms

7-8 October, 2024

Exercise 1. Suppose *A*, *B*, and *C* are logical propositions. Which of the following expressions are logically equivalent to one another?

1. $A \Longrightarrow B$

7. $\neg A \lor B$

2. $(A \Longrightarrow C) \land (B \Longrightarrow C)$

8. $(A \wedge B) \vee C$

3. $B \Longrightarrow A$

9. $(A \lor B) \land C$

4. $(A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$

- . (-- :) . . .
- 5. $((A \land C) \Longrightarrow B) \land ((A \land \neg C) \Longrightarrow B)$
- 10. $(A \lor B) \Longrightarrow C$

6. $(A \lor C) \land (B \lor C)$

11. $\neg (B \land \neg A)$

Solution. • $1 \iff 5 \iff 7$

- 2 \iff 10
- 3 **⇔** 11
- 4 \imp 9
- $6 \Longleftrightarrow 8$

Exercise 2. Consider a society consisting of a set S of people. We say that a person $p \in S$ is a *dictator* if for every $q \in S$, q obeys p. We say that S is a *dictatorship* if S contains a dictator.

- 1. Write the condition of *S* being a dictatorship in logical notation using the quantifiers \forall and \exists and the predicate P(p,q) indicating that p obeys q.
- 2. Negate your expression from part 1 to obtain an expression for *S* not being a dictatorship.
- 3. How can you interpret the expression you devised for part 2 in plain English?

Proof. 1. $(\exists p)(\forall q)[P(q,p)]$

2. $(\forall p)(\exists q)[\neg P(q, p)]$

3. This expression indicates that for every person p, there is some person q that does not obey p (implying that p is not a dictator). Note that the expression $(\exists p)[\neg P(q,p)]$ is the negation of the expression for q to be a dictator: someone disobeys q.

Exercise 3. Consider the following Selection Sort algorithm.

1: **procedure** MININDEX(A, i, k) \triangleright Find and return the index of the minimum value in the array A between indices i and k, inclusive.

```
m \leftarrow i
2:
3:
       for j = i, i + 1, ..., k do
           if A[j] < A[m] then
 4:
               m \leftarrow j
           end if
 6:
       end for
8: return m
9: end procedure
10: procedure SELECTIONSORT(A, n)
                                                                        \triangleright Sort the array A of size n
       for i = 1, 2, ..., n do
11:
            j \leftarrow \text{MININDEX}(A, i, n)
12:
           SWAP(A, i, j)
13:
14:
       end for
15: end procedure
```

Assume that the procedure MININDEX(A, i, j) correctly returns the index of the minimum value stored in A between the indices i and j (inclusive). The procedure SWAP(A, i, j) swaps the values of A at indices i and j. Prove that

SELECTIONSORT correctly sorts every array *A* of size *n*. More specifically:

- 1. Identify a *loop invariant* that is satisfied at the end of each iteration of the loop in lines 11–14 of SelectionSort.
- 2. Use mathematical induction to argue that your loop invariant holds.
- 3. Conclude that after the final iteration, the array is sorted (i.e., $A[1] \le A[2] \le \cdots \le A[n]$).

Solution. Consider the following invariant:

• After iteration i, A[1..i] is sorted, and $A[i] \le A[j]$ for all $j \ge i$.

We argue that this invariant holds by induction on i.

Base case i = 1. In the first iteration, the index j stores the smallest value in the array in line 12. In line 13, this value is swapped into A[1]. Since the sub-array A[1..1] has size 1, it is sorted, and $A[1] \le A[j]$ for all j after the swap.

Inductive Step. Suppose the loop invariant holds after iteration i. Consider iteration i+1 of the loop in lines 11-14. By the inductive hypothesis,

1. A[1..i] is sorted, and

2. $A[i] \le A[j]$ for all $j \ge i$.

After lines 12–13, the smallest value in A[i+1..n] is swapped to index A[i+1]. By 1 and 2 above, A[1..i+1] is sorted, and by the minimality of A[i+1], we have $A[i+1] \le A[j]$ for $j \ge i+1$. Therefore, the loop invariant holds after iteration i+1.

By induction, the loop invariant holds after every iteration of the loop. In particular, after iteration n, A = A[1..n] is sorted, as desired.