Lecture 08: Sorting Lower Bounds

COSC 311 Algorithms, Fall 2022

Announcements

- 1. Homework 2 Draft Posted
- 2. Homework Late Days

Overview

- 1. QuickSort Again
- 2. Sorting Lower Bound



Last Time



Split Before

What is the problem?



Split Updated



```
Split(a, i, j, pIndex):
   pivot <- a[pIndex]
   swap(a, pIndex, j);  # move pivot to last index
   small <- i - 1;
   for cur in range i..j do
       if a[cur] <= pivot then
           small <- small + 1
           swap(a, small, cur)
       endif
   endfor
   return small</pre>
```

Invariants:

- 1. elements \leq pivot are at indices [i..small]
- 2. elements > pivot are at indices [small+1..cur]

So far

- 1. $O(n^2)$ sorting: SelectionSort, InsertionSort, BubbleSort
- 2. $O(n^2)$ worst-case, $O(n \log n)$ average case: QuickSort
- 3. $O(n \log n)$ worst-case: MergeSort

Question. Can we do better?

Lecture Ticket Sorting arrays of size *n* requires $\Omega(n)$ operations.

n, u-1, ..., 2,1

Today's Lower Bound

Any algorithm that accesses and modifies arrays using only compare and swap operations requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ comparisons.

• MergeSort is (asymptoticaly) optimal?

Exercise Imp. MS VI Only compare & swap can swap between arrays

Ingredients of Lower Bound

Consider. Arrays are *permutations* of 1, 2, ..., *n*

= $# 1 \dots n$ in array, every Val appears once Main idea. *a* and *b* are distinct arrays and *A* is an algorithm

- A distinguishes a and b if A(a) and A(b) both make a call to compare(·, i, j) with compare(a, i, j) ≠ compare(b, i, j)
 if A does not distinguish a and b, then it does not sort
- 2. if A does not distinguish a and b, then it does not sort both a and b $\zeta \ c \cdot \sqrt{\log n}$
- 3. If *A* performs too few compare operations, then it cannot distinguish all arrays of size *n*
 - \implies A does not sort all arrays of size n

Decision Trees I

Consider:

1. Fixed sorting algorithm A

```
InsertionSort(a):
for i = 2 to n do
  j <- i
  while j > 1 and compare(a, j-1, j) do
     swap(a, j, j-1); j \in j - (
  endwhile
 endfor
```

- 2. Fixed set of inputs of size *n*
 - How many arrays in S_n ? $N = N \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots 1$

Decision Trees II

Follow execution of A on all inputs from S_n

Define a binary tree:

- 1. each node corresponds to a single compare operation
- 2. each node has two children corresponding to two possible outcomes of compare

Form this tree for all comparisons made on all inputs in S_n

label each node with inputs consistent with all compare outcomes



What is first comparison?











Decision Tree Depth

Question. What does the *depth* of decision tree correspond to in terms of execution of *A*?



Indistinguishability

Question. If *A* distinguishes *a* and *b*, what can we say about nodes labeled with *a* and *b*?



Indistinguishability Claim

Claim. If A does not distinguish a and b with $a \neq b$, then A does not sort both a and b.

different outputs

Why?

Indistinguishability Consequence

Consequence. If *A* sorts all arrays of size *n*, then every leaf of *A*'s decision tree is labeled with a single permutation array.

How Big is Decision Tree?

How many leaves must a correct decision tree have?

