Homework 2

Instructions

You may work in groups of up to 4 and submit a single assignment for the group. For computational problems, please show your work; for conceptual questions, please explain your reasoning. Solutions may be neatly hand-written and scanned or typeset. Please submit your solution to Moodle **in PDF format**.

Due: Friday, March 19, 23:59 AoE

Exercises

Exercise 1. Consider the following Bouncer object:

```
class Bouncer {
    public static final int DOWN = 0;
    public static final int RIGHT = 1;
    public static final int STOP = 2;
    private boolean goRight = false;
    private int last = -1;
    int visit () {
        int i = ThreadID.get();
        last = i;
        if (goRight)
             return RIGHT;
        goRight = true;
        if (last == i)
            return STOP;
        else
            return DOWN;
    }
}
```

Suppose n threads call the visit() method. Argue that the following hold:

- 1. At most one thread gets the value STOP.
- 2. At most n-1 threads get the value DOWN.
- 3. At most n-1 threads get the value RIGHT.

Exercise 2. So far in this course, we have assumed that all threads have IDs that are reasonably small numbers. In Java, however, thread IDs can be arbitrary long values (see getId() documentation). In this exercise, we will see how to use Bouncer objects as above to create unique IDs that are reasonably small compared to the number of threads.

Consider a 2D triangular array of Bouncer objects arranged as follows:



Suppose each thread performs the following procedure: All threads start by calling visit() on Bouncer 0. Whenever a thread visits a Bouncer, if the Bouncer returns STOP, the thread adopts the number of the Bouncer as its ID. If DOWN is returned, the thread then visits the Bouncer below; if RIGHT is returned, the thread the right.

- 1. Show that for a sufficiently large array of Bouncers, every thread will eventually STOP at some Bouncer, thereby adopting its ID.
- 2. If the number *n* of threads is known in advance, how many Bouncers are required to ensure that all threads adopt an ID?

Exercise 3. Consider the following histories of executions of read/write registers (variable), \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} . Please explain your answers to the following questions.

A		r.read(3)	s.writ	e(1) >
в		s.read(1)	r.write(2)	>
С	-	r.read(2)	r.write(3)	

- 1. Restricting attention *only* to register **r**, is the execution sequentially consistent? Linearizable?
- 2. Restricting attention *only* to register **s**, is the execution sequentially consistent? Linearizable?
- 3. Is the entire execution (including both registers) sequentially consistent? Linearizable?